

Comments on
*Why the Renminbi Might be
Overvalued (But Probably Isn't)*
by Cheung and Chinn

Andrew K. Rose
UC Berkeley, CEPR and NBER

Strengths

- Important Topic!
- Clear, logical progression of analysis
 - Start with PPP
 - Add more regressors
- All the (appropriate) Caveats
- Usually sensible results

Objectives of Paper (p 1):

1. Meaning of “equilibrium real exchange rate”?
2. Quantify uncertainty around equilibrium rate
3. Discuss lessons about rates since Asian crisis

Weaknesses

- Not all interesting questions have answers
- The PPP-based approach is limiting
- Few observations
 - Short span of data necessarily means poor precision
 - No solution; longer span (if possible!) implies more structural change

Suggestion 1: Choice of Model

- Is productivity approach natural starting place?
 - Data-reliant
 - Requires long time-series span
 - Much estimation (e.g., Table 2) based on 15 annual observations (11 dependent df)

- The data is especially suspect
 - P 11: “Once one has to determine the appropriate Chinese productivity numbers, one enters a data quagmire.”
 - P 12: ‘nontradables is proxied by the “Other” sector.’
 - Labor productivity, not TFP
- Can one avoid the quagmire?

Suggestion 2: One Model or Many?

- Key problem is poor precision
- Why not combine models optimally?
 - Different point-estimates can be combined optimally (with covariance matrix)
 - If b_1 and b_2 are unbiased estimators of β , then $ab_1+(1-a)b_2$ is unbiased and lower variance if a chosen optimally (proportional to precision)

- Two noisy indicators optimally combined are better than one
 - Seems obvious extension, since models with trade flows imply larger deviations with measurable imprecision
- Appropriate, given objective #1

Suggestion 3: Focus More on Second Moment

- Do we have precise estimate of width of confidence interval? If so, what is it?
 - Must be very high.
 - Span of data necessarily short (20 years)
 - Much structural change during sample
- Appropriate, given objective #2

Bottom Line

- Cheung and Chinn clearly correct that misalignment is poorly understood
 - This true of almost all recent crises (Asia, Mexico, Russia, Europe but not Argentina, Brazil)
- Conservative tone appropriate
 - But all those notes of caution are somewhat depressing!