

---

# Mock Exam

Economics 201B

---

1. There is a population of  $N$  consumers. Each consumer wants, at most, one unit of a good sold by a monopolist. A consumer's utility function is  $v\delta - p$ , where  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  indicates whether the consumer purchases the good,  $v$  is his valuation for the good, and  $p$  is the price he pays for the good if he chooses to purchase it. A consumer's utility if he doesn't purchase the good is 0. Assume that  $v \sim U[v_0, v_1]$ ; that is, the probability that  $v \leq \hat{v} = (\hat{v} - v_0)/(v_1 - v_0)$ . Assume each of the consumers' valuations are drawn independently. For future reference, define  $\Delta_v = v_1 - v_0$ . For convenience, assume the marginal cost of production is 0.
  - (a) What is expected demand as a function of price,  $p$ ? What is expected *inverse* demand as function of quantity,  $x$ ? [*Hint*: be careful.]
  - (b) As a function of the parameters of this problem, what is the price that maximizes expected profit (assuming linear pricing)?
  - (c) Assume that  $N$  consumers are non-students. Assume that there is also a population of  $S$  students. Each student's utility function is  $w\delta - p$ , where the  $w$  are distributed independently according to the distribution  $U[w_0, w_1]$ . Assume  $w_1 < v_0$ . Derive sufficient conditions for third-degree price discrimination to be welfare improving relative to simple monopoly pricing.
2. Consider a society with  $I$  citizens and a benevolent social planner. The  $I$  citizens have preferences  $v_i(x, \theta_i) + y_i$ , where  $i$  indexes the citizen,  $x$  is the level of some public good,  $\theta_i$  is citizen  $i$ 's type, and  $y_i$  is citizen's  $i$ 's allocation of a transferable good (money). Although the social planner is benevolent, she likes some citizens more than others. Hence, she seeks to maximize the following function

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \lambda_i v_i(x, \theta_i),$$

where the  $\lambda_i$  vary across citizens but are all positive. Assume that there exists a finite  $x^*(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  that maximizes that sum for all  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta \equiv \Theta_1 \times \cdots \times \Theta_I$ , where  $\Theta_i$  is the possible type space for citizen  $i$ . Find a dominant strategy mechanism that implements  $x^*(\cdot)$ .

3. Consider a standard hidden-action agency model. Let the action space be  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let the agent's utility be  $u(y) - aC$ , where  $u(\cdot)$  is strictly increasing and concave,  $a$  denotes the action, and  $C > 0$ . Assume the

agent's reservation utility is zero. Let the performance measure,  $x$ , be drawn from the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Assume that the distribution of  $x$  given  $a$  is  $qaF_1(x) + (1 - qa)F_0(x)$ , where  $0 < q < 1$ . Define  $F_i(\cdot)$  on  $[0, 1]$  as follows

$$F_1(x) = \begin{cases} 2(x - \frac{1}{2}), & \text{if } x \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } F_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq k \\ \frac{x}{k}, & \text{if } x \leq k \end{cases}$$

where  $k \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

- (a) What is the optimal contract for the principal to offer that implements  $a = 1$ ?
- (b) Consider an alternative model in which  $k = 1/2$ . Prove that the expected cost of implementing  $a = 1$  is less in this alternative model than in the model presented above.