## Adair Morse University of California, Berkeley

# Comments at *Cambridge Center for Alternative Finance, July 2019* based on new working paper:

"CryptoMining: Energy Use and Local Impact" by Matteo Benetton, Giovanni Compiani, Adair Morse

#### Motivation:

- Cryptomining uses tremendous amount of electricity, making electricity a scarce resource for local economies
- We wanted to know why local governments allow / lobby for cryptomining

## Setting 1: Total consumption of electricity is large

#### Digiconomist:

- Current use: 0.3% world energy
- Could power 6.3M US households

#### De Vries (2018) in Joule

- ST Projection: 0.5%world energy
- Implication:10.5M US households

### <u>Bitmain IPO</u> , <u>Cambridge (2018)</u>

- Manufacturer market share 67%:
- Recent sales: 4.2 million machines
- Energy use of these machines > Digiconomist estimate

#### **Transactions inference**

- 1 transaction cleared by bitcoin uses the equivalent daily energy of 15 U.S. households
- To clear the daily transactions of VISA Card would require the equivalent electricity of 2.16 billion U.S. households

Why does bitcoin so much energy use?....

## Setting 2: *Proof of work* to clear transactions

#### Why people like it?

• *Proof of Work* is the only completely democratized system now in place without a central agents (banks, government) to keep account and prevent fraud

*Why does it use so much energy? Cryptominers* (firms with computing power) compete to clear a block of transactions (winner takes all rewards).

- Requires quintillions of searches to find a solution to win
- Result: Cryptominers have a <u>arms race</u> in computing power

## Why can't problem be simplified or transactions be bundled for energy efficiency?

- Need scarcity in ultimate number of coins. System relies on a block being validated successfully only every ten minutes (on average).
- Need automatic *Difficulty Adjustment* to keep miner marginal profit (and thus amount of mining) in line with 10 minute goal.

Setting 3: Scaling-up under proof of work is environmentally infeasible

- 1 transaction cleared by bitcoin uses the equivalent daily energy of 15 U.S. households.
- To clear the transactions of VISA would require the equivalent electricity of 2.16 billion U.S. households
  - Cannot be a system to clear "daily life" payments system
  - New stablecoin digital currencies do not use proof of work validation.

## Setting 4: Energy consumption did not crash with price of Bitcoin



## Local Government Motivations & Unintended Consequences

Collected news stories from local media...

What governments say:

- Anecdotes from China, Caucuses: Tax Revenues
- Anecdotes from Caucuses, Canada, U.S. and Scandinavia: Local Economy Spillovers to workers and consumers

#### <u>Unintended consequences:</u>

- Anecdotes from Montana, Australia, Texas: Re-opening coal mines or forestalling closure
- Anecdotes from Caucuses, Venezuela: Blackouts
- Anecdotes from Oregon, NYState: Rising energy costs for businesses because utilities having to buy electricity from other counties to provide to industry

#### Mining Cities

#### <u>Where are cryptomines?</u>

For each city-seat in inner provinces in China, we conducted local news searches (focusing but not exclusively on local newspapers) in Baidu and Google to find evidence of cryptomining facilities



A similar picture is found in: 2018, Cambridge Center for Alternative Finance, 2nd Global Cryptoasset Benchmarking Study with a punchline: *"The majority [globally]... use <u>some share</u> of renewable energy ... in their energy mix"* 

## Summary of Results

Contributions:

- 1. Estimate that 47%-60% or worldwide cryptomining comes from fossil-fuel (39-48% from coal)
- 2. What are the local economy outcomes to cryptomining?
  - <u>What governments say: Positive spillovers</u>
    - i. Taxes FIND: Governments get 10% more business taxes per GDP from cryptomining than other uses of energy
    - ii. Wages / Consumption FIND: No positive spillovers to households, if anything since cryptomining uses little labor, wages decline
  - <u>Unintended consequences</u>
    - iii. Pollution FIND: cryptomining increases energy consumption in fossil-fuel powered cities by 10%
    - iv. Other industries FIND: Negative impact on fixed asset investment =>
      crowding out